## The inclusion of the Schur algebra in $B(\ell^2)$ is not inverse-closed.

Romain Tessera\*

October 17, 2009

## Abstract

The Schur algebra is the algebra of operators which are bounded on  $\ell^1$  and on  $\ell^{\infty}$ . In [ShS], Sun conjectured that the Schur algebra is inverseclosed. In this note, we disprove this conjecture. Precisely, we exhibit an operator in the Schur algebra, invertible in  $\ell^2$ , whose inverse is not bounded on  $\ell^1$  nor on  $\ell^{\infty}$ .

The Schur algebra is the unital algebra of infinite matrices whose rows and columns are uniformly bounded in  $\ell^1$ . Such matrices define operators which are uniformly bounded on  $\ell^p$  for all  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . In this short note, we prove the following

**Theorem.** There exists an infinite symmetric matrix  $M = \{m_{i,j}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that

- $m_{ij} = 0 \text{ or } 1/4,$
- the support of each row and each column has cardinality 4,
- I-M is invertible in  $\ell^2$ , but not in  $\ell^{\infty}$ .

**Proof**: Let us consider a finitely generated group G, equipped with a probability measure  $\mu$  on G such that  $\mu(g) = \mu(g^{-1})$  for all  $g \in G$ , and such that the support of  $\mu$  is finite and generates the group G. Let M be the operator of convolution by  $\mu$  on  $\ell^2(G)$ , i.e.

$$M(f)(g) = \mu * f(g) = \sum_{h \in G} m(g^{-1}h)f(h) = \sum_{h \in G} m(h)f(gh).$$

<sup>\*</sup>The author is partially supported by the NSF grant DMS-0706486.

Up to enumerate the elements of G, one can see M as an infinite matrix. Note that the cardinality of the support of both the rows and the columns of M is simply the cardinality of the support of  $\mu$ .

Let us check that if G is infinite, then the convolution by  $\mu$  is never invertible in  $\ell^{\infty}$ . Note that since it is self-adjoint, it is neither invertible on  $\ell^{1}$ . Let S be the support of  $\mu$ . The word metric on G is defined as follows:  $d_{S}(g,h) = \inf\{n \in \mathbb{N}; g^{-1}h = s_{1} \dots s_{n}, s_{i} \in S\}$ . The ball B(e,n), of radius n and centered on the neutral element e is therefore the set of all g which can be written as a product of at most n elements of S.

For each  $n \geq 1$ , let  $f_n$  be the function measuring the distance to the complement of B(e, n) in G, i.e.

$$f_n(g) = \min_{h \in G \setminus B(e,n)} d(g,h).$$

Obviously,  $f_n$  is a 1-Lipschitz function on  $(G, d_S)$ . Therefore, by definition of M, one has that  $|(I - M)(f_n)(g)| \leq 1$  for all  $g \in G$ . But on the other hand,  $f_n(e) = n$ , so we obtain the following inequality

$$\frac{\|(I-M)(f_n)\|_{\infty}}{\|f_n\|_{\infty}} \le 1/n,$$

which tends to 0 when  $n \to \infty$ . Hence I - M is not (left) invertible in  $\ell^{\infty}$ .

On the other hand, by a classical result of Kesten<sup>1</sup> [Kest], the group G is non-amenable if and only I-M is invertible in  $\ell^2(G)$ . The most classical example of a non-amenable group is the free group with two generators  $\langle x,y\rangle$ . Taking  $\mu$  such that  $\mu(x) = \mu(y) = 1/4$ , one gets the precise statement of the theorem.  $\blacksquare$  Remark 0.1. In the case of the free group with 2 generators, and for  $\mu$  as above, one has  $\|(I-M)^{-1}\|_2 = 2/(2-\sqrt{3})$  [Kest]. Note that this norm is just the inverse of the smallest real eigenvalue of the so-called discrete Laplacian  $\Delta = I - M$  on the Cayley graph of the free group  $\langle x,y\rangle$  (which is a 4-regular tree). Another formulation of Kesten's theorem is that a finitely generated group is non-amenable if and only if any (resp. one) of its Cayley graphs has a spetral gap (i.e. this first eigenvalue is non-zero).

## References

[Cou] T. COULHON. Random walks and geometry on infinite graphs. Lecture notes on analysis on metric spaces, Luigi Ambrosio, Francesco Serra Cassano, eds., 5-30, 2000.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Kesten gives a probabilistic proof of his result. For a more analytic approach, one can consult for instance [Cou].

- [Kest] H. KESTEN. Symmetric random walks on groups. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 92, 336-354, 1959.
- [ShS] C. E. Shin and Q. Sun. Stability of localized operators. Journal of Functional Analysis, 256, 2417–2439, 2009.